

Idaho State Representative District 1b

	Kathryn Larson	Cornel Rasor
1. What is your name, what office are you running for and why are you running for this position?	No Response Received	Cornel Rasor Running for Idaho State Representative, District 1B I believe all office is an opportunity to serve as many people at once as possible. I further believe that I have a skill set that is useful to the people of District 1 in Idaho and that I can best serve them by running as a district one representative to craft the legislation that will result in the greatest freedom, the least taxation, and the greatest protections for our liberties as possible.
2. When do you believe life begins and how does this belief affect the legislation you will support?	No Response Received	I believe life begins at conception and that all abortions are the forceful murdering of a human created in the image of God. This will affect the way I look at legislation protecting the unborn, I seek a complete abolition of abortion in all but the most clear-cut cases of life of the mother.
3. What are the top three issues that you feel the legislature needs to address?	No Response Received	Taxation Immigration Individual freedoms
4. What do you consider the biggest health care issue for Idaho, and where do you stand on it?	No Response Received	It is government crowding families and doctors out of decisions that belong to them, then loading the cost onto our grandchildren. Parents, not hospitals, agencies, or ideologues, should decide what happens to a child's body. Too often the medical-state complex treats families as obstacles instead of the first line of care. This pattern shows up in federal mandates and subsidy programs never enumerated in the Constitution. Grows by strings and "guidance," never shrinks, and treats people as managed populations rather than free citizens. I live in a real world that already has huge government. I do not pretend we can repeal it overnight. But every new program, every expansion of Medicaid-style dependency, and every borrowed dollar is another obligation piled on kids who never voted for it. Healthcare works best closest to the patient, the family, the local doctor, and the community—not Boise bureaucracies or Washington. That is the limited-government standard I apply to every bill.
5. Do you support or oppose legislation to prohibit gender reassignment treatment/surgery on minors?	No Response Received	Support
6. If elected, how will you ensure that you are aligned with your North Idaho constituents' values and how will your voting record reflect those values?	No Response Received	I read every bill. I do not rely on staff summaries or party talking points. If a measure grows government, raises costs on working families, weakens property rights, undermines the Second Amendment, or piles more debt on our grandchildren, I vote no. If it protects life, keeps decisions local, respects parents, and keeps Idaho from becoming a branch office of Washington, I vote yes. My record will look like the district: timber and rural work ethic, suspicion of distant bureaucracies, defense of churches and families, and plain refusal to treat people as managed populations.

	Kathryn Larson	Cornel Rasor
7. Do you support or oppose Digital IDs in the State of Idaho? Why or why not?	No Response Received	I oppose mandatory Digital IDs in Idaho. A plastic card in your wallet is still the safest, simplest proof of who you are. Government has no business requiring a phone, an app, or a live data connection before you can drive, vote, get a license, see a doctor, or walk into a county office. Physical identification authorized under Idaho law must remain fully valid. No agency should demand that you unlock a phone or surrender a device. I supported the 2026 limits that banned compelled digital ID for that reason, and I will vote the same way on any bill that tries to walk those protections back.
8. What is your opinion on the use of Central Bank Digital Currency? Would you support this for Idaho?	No Response Received	I oppose Central Bank Digital Currency, period. I would not support it for Idaho and I will fight any attempt to bring a state version through the back door. A CBDC is programmable government money. That means the issuer can turn it off, restrict where it is spent, attach expiration dates, or condition its use on political or medical compliance. That is not currency. That is a control system. The Constitution authorizes gold and silver as tender. It does not authorize the Treasury, the Federal Reserve, or the State of Idaho to issue a tracking token that lives on a government ledger. Idahoans should be free to use cash, gold, silver, or private digital assets they choose. The state should not build, partner on, or recognize a CBDC as legal tender. If Washington tries to impose one, Idaho should treat it the same way we treat other unconstitutional federal overreach: refuse to implement it and protect our people. Sound money and personal liberty are not negotiable.
9. What is your definition of marriage?	No Response Received	One man and one woman married for life.
10. The cost of electricity is increasing across the state of Idaho. What legislation would you recommend to help increase electrical generation and independence in Idaho while still allowing power companies to remain profitable?	No Response Received	I would keep hydro first, add firm generation that runs when the wind is not blowing, natural gas now, and nuclear where it can be sited and paid for by the users who need it. I would not mandate portfolios that force expensive, intermittent power onto ratepayers. Large new loads, including data centers, should pay the full cost of the generation and transmission built for them. Ordinary families, irrigators, and small businesses should not underwrite someone else's megawatts. Streamline permitting for plants and lines on private and already disturbed land. Stop federal rules that retire reliable plants before replacements are online.
11. What legislation would you recommend to prevent property tax increases that are causing a financial burden and forcing some owners out of their homes?	No Response Received	I am working on sunseting the property tax without adding any other source of revenue. The state budget is bloated enough and many ongoing increases in the budget can be repurposed for that endeavor.

	Kathryn Larson	Cornel Rasor
12. In your opinion, if elected, who do you see yourself accountable to and why?	No Response Received	God, the constitution, and the people. That is the correct order.
13. In recent years some groups have advocated for legalizing marijuana in our state, do you support or oppose the legalization of marijuana? Why or why not?	No Response Received	Generally opposed. If someone has a genuine medical claim, that is a doctor and legislature question,
14. Is there anything in your personal, business, or career life that would be a conflict of interest in your ability to serve the people of Idaho?	No Response Received	No
15. What is your background and why do you feel it qualifies you for this position?	No Response Received	I am not a career politician from Boise. I live in the same communities I represent, Bonner and Boundary counties, and I have spent my life around timber, rural work, property, and neighbors who expect a man to read what he votes on. I read every bill. I helped write and carry legislation that protects women and girls in public facilities and that defends churches and houses of worship from trespass. I vote limited government, property rights, the Second Amendment, the right to life, and local control. This job is not about sounding polished in a hearing room. It is about knowing what a bad statute does to a road district, a fire hall, a small mill, or a family trying to keep land. I have grandchildren. I will not pile more government and more debt on them.